Deloitte.



South Yorkshire Pensions Authority

Auditor's Annual Report 2022/23

12 September 2023

Deloitte Confidential: Government and Public Services

Contents

Auditor's Annual Report Key messages 3 Purpose of the report Assurance sources for the Authority Opinion on the financial statements 6 Our financial statement audit approach Financial statement audit significant risks 8 Our approach to Value for Money (VfM) 11 arrangements VfM commentary: • Financial sustainability 12 Governance 14 • Improving economy, efficiency and 16 effectiveness

Appendices	
Purpose of our report	19
Authority's responsibilities	20
Auditor's responsibilities	21

Key messages

Audit opinion on the financial statements

We expect to issue an unqualified opinion on the Authority and Fund's financial statements.

The Authority's arrangements to secure Value for Money

Financial sustainability

How the body plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services

- The Authority recognised a deficit on the provision of services for the year ended 31 March 2023 of £749k.
- The Authority has an annual financial planning and forecasting process and has set out a balanced Medium Term Financial Strategy from 2022/23 to 2024/25.
- The Authority reports the financial position on a quarterly basis which includes an analysis of the actual expenditure incurred compared to budget.

Governance

How the body ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks

- The Authority has a detailed risk management process in place. The Authority maintains a Risk Management Framework and risk register, which are reviewed on a quarterly basis by the Authority.
- The Authority has a number of policies in place to ensure it makes properly informed decisions. The Authority has an approved decision methodology for investment and divestment decisions, which includes approval by finance personnel, and other key factors. Where necessary decisions will be reviewed by the executive management team for comment and to determine if the proposal should be approved. Business cases with supporting information are submitted to the relevant committee for approval.

Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness

How the body uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services

- The Authority assesses its performance through quarterly Corporate Performance Reports, which consider a number of measures, covering corporate, investment, pension administration and financial matters.
- The Authority also engages with CEM benchmarking to perform benchmarking reviews on an ad hoc basis to identify areas for improvement.

Purpose of this report

Our Auditor's Annual Report sets out the key findings arising from the work we have carried out at South Yorkshire Pensions Authority ("the Authority") for the year ended 31 March 2023.

This report is intended to bring together the results of our work over the year at the Authority, including commentary on the Authority's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources ("Value for Money", "VfM").

In preparing this report, we have followed the National Audit Office's ("NAO") Code of Audit Practice and its Auditor Guidance Note ("AGN") 07. These are available from the NAO's website.

A key element of this report is our commentary on the Authority's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources. Our work considering these arrangements is based on our assessment of the adequacy of the arrangements the Authority has put in place, based on our risk assessment. The commentary does not consider the adequacy of every arrangement the Authority has in place, nor does it provide positive assurance that the Authority is delivering or represents value for money.

Where we identify recommendations, we indicate whether these are:

- Recommendations in respect of significant weaknesses in the Council's VfM arrangements, which we are required to make in accordance with paragraph 54 of AGN 03 where we identify a significant weakness; or
- Other recommendations, which we have indicated as "Deloitte Insights".

We have not identified any significant weaknesses in the Authority's VfM arrangements, and so have not reported any recommendations in respect of significant weaknesses.

Assurance sources for the Authority

The diagram below illustrates how the assurances provided by external audit around finance, quality, controls and systems, and the future of the Authority (set out in the green rows) fit with some of the other assurances available over the Authority's position and performance.

Financial

How is the Authority performing financially?

Quality and Operational

How is the Authority performing operationally and in quality of outcomes?

Controls and Systems

Does the Authority have adequate processes?

Future of the Authority

Is the Authority's strategy appropriate and sustainable?

Is reliable reporting and data being produced through the year, at each level within the Authority, and appropriately reviewed and followed up?

Is the Statement of Accounts, taken as a whole, fair, balanced and understandable?

Are the Authority's processes operating effectively?

Are the Authority's plans realistic and achievable?

Has the Authority delivered on its financial plans?

Are quality priorities selected appropriate for the Authority?

Is the Authority meeting its legal and regulatory obligations, and are appropriate plans in place to maintain compliance?

Does the Authority have efficient systems and processes?

Are appropriate actions in place to deliver the Authority's plans?

Is the Authority generating sufficient surplus for reinvestment?

Are quality metrics reported accurate and complete?

Are risks around legacy systems etc. appropriately mitigated?

What are the risks to achievement of the Authority's plans and are appropriate mitigations in place?

Internal audit assurance

Business processes and Board/Committee

oversight

Is there a generally sound system of internal control on key financial and management processes?

Has the Authority suffered losses due to fraud?

Does the Authority have appropriate arrangements in place to mitigate fraud risks?

External Audit assurance on reported performance

Do the financial statements give a true and fair view?

Have the financial statements been properly prepared?

Is the Annual Governance Statement misleading or inconsistent with information we are aware of from our audit? * Is there significant uncertainty over the going concern assumption?

Is the Annual Governance
Statement consistent with the financial statements? *

Has the Authority made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources?

Deloitte Confidential: Government and Public Services - For Approved External use Only

Opinion on the financial statements

We provide an independent opinion on whether the Authority and Fund's financial statements:

- Give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Authority and Fund at 31 March 2023 and of its income and expenditure for the year then ended; and
- Have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2022/23.

The full opinion and certificate will be included in the Authority's Statement of Accounts, which can be obtained from the Authority's website.

We conduct our audit in accordance with the NAO's Code of Audit Practice, International Standards on Auditing (UK) ("ISAs (UK)") and applicable law. We are independent of the Authority in accordance with applicable ethical requirements, including the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard.

Audit opinion on the financial statements	We expect to issue an unqualified opinion on the Authority's financial statements. We did not identify any matters where, in our opinion, proper practices had not been observed in the compilation of the financial statements.	
Annual Governance Statement	We did not identify any matters where, in our opinion, the Annual Governance Statement did not meet the disclosure requirements set out in the CIPFA Code of Practice, was misleading, or was inconsistent with information of which we are aware from our audit.	
Narrative report	We are satisfied that the information given in the narrative report for the year ended 31 March 2023 is consistent with the financial statements.	
Reports in the public interest and use of other powers	We did not exercise any of our additional reporting powers in respect of the year ended 31 March 2023.	
Audit certificate	We will certify completion of the audit following completion of our responsibilities in respect of the audit for the year ended 31 March 2023. We have not yet concluded our work on the consistency of the Pension Fund Annual Report.	

Our financial statement audit approach

An overview of the scope of the audit

Our audit was scoped by obtaining an understanding of the Authority and Fund and the environment they operate in, including internal control, and assessing the risks of material misstatement to the financial statements. Our risk assessment procedures include considering the size, composition and qualitative factors relating to account balances, classes of transactions and disclosures. This enables us to determine the scope of further audit procedures to address identified risks of material misstatement.

Audit work to respond to the risks of material misstatement was performed directly by the audit engagement team, led by the audit partner, Nicola Wright. The audit team included integrated Deloitte specialists bringing specific skills and experience in local government pension schemes, property valuation and information technology systems.

Materiality

Our work is planned and performed to detect material misstatements. We define materiality as the magnitude of misstatement in the financial statements that makes it probable that the economic decisions of a reasonably knowledgeable person would be changed or influenced. We use materiality both in planning the scope of our audit work and in evaluating the results of our work.

Based on our professional judgement, we determined materiality for the Authority to be £149k, on the basis of 2% of expenditure. We set materiality for the Fund as £102,019k, on the basis of 1% of net assets.

We agreed with the Audit Committee that we would report to the Committee all audit differences in excess of £7k for the Authority and £5,100k for the Fund as well as differences below that threshold that, in our view, warranted reporting on qualitative grounds. We also report to the Audit Committee on disclosure matters that we identified when assessing the overall presentation of the financial statements.

Procedures for auditing the Authority's financial statements

Our audit of the Authority and Fund's financial statements included:

- Developing an understanding of the Authority and Fund, including its systems, processes, risks, challenges and opportunities and then using this understanding to focus audit procedures on areas where we consider there to be a higher risk of misstatement in the Authority and Fund's financial statements;
- Interviewing members of the Authority and Fund's management team and reviewing documentation to test the design and implementation of the Authority and Fund's internal controls in certain key areas relevant to the financial statements; and
- Performing sample tests on balances in the Authority and Fund's financial statements to supporting documentary evidence, as well as other analytical procedures, to test the validity, accuracy and completeness of those balances.

Our financial statement audit approach (continued)

Approach to audit risks

We focused our work on areas where we considered there to be a higher risk of misstatement. We refer to these areas as significant audit risks.

We provided a detailed audit plan to the Authority and Fund's Audit Committee setting out what we considered to be the significant audit risks for the Authority and Fund, together with our planned approach to addressing the risk. We have provided a summary of the significant audit risks on the next pages.

We have made recommendations in our Audit Committee reporting for improvement in the Authority and Fund's policies, procedures and internal controls based on observations from our work in relation to the IT environment. However, we do not consider these recommendations to reflect significant weaknesses in the Authority's VfM arrangements.

Financial statement audit significant risks

Risk identified	Deloitte response	Key observations
Management override of controls – Authority and Fund	We completed the following procedures:	No issues were identified through this
	tested the design and implementation of controls around the	testing.
In accordance with auditing standards,	investment and disinvestment of cash during the year;	
management override is a significant risk	• made inquiries of individuals involved in the financial reporting process	
due to fraud. This risk area includes the potential for management to use their	about inappropriate or unusual activity relating to the processing of journal entries and other adjustments;	
judgement to influence the financial	 performed a walkthrough of the financial reporting process; 	
statements as well as the potential to override the entity's controls for specific transactions.	 used Spotlight, our data analytics software, to interrogate 100% of journals posted. We performed an analysis of journal entries which enable us to focus on journals meeting specific pre-determined parameters determined during our audit planning; 	
	 substantively tested the appropriateness of journal entries; 	
	 reviewed the accounting estimates for bias; and 	
	 ensured that there is an appropriate level of segregation of duties over processing journal entries to the financial statements throughout the year. 	
Valuation of pension liability –	We are completing the following procedures:	Our audit in this area is ongoing and we
Authority	. testing the design and implementation of the controls in place in	will provide a verbal undate to the

Authority

The net pension liability is a material element of the Authority's balance sheet. The actuarial valuation of the liability relies on a number of assumptions and an actuarial methodology which results in the Authority's overall valuation. At the year end the Authority has a pension asset. consideration is required regarding the right to recognise an asset and if there are any restrictions on the value recognised.

- testing the design and implementation of the controls in place in relation to the review of the assumptions by the Authority;
- evaluating the competency, objectivity and independence of Hymans Robertson;
- engaging our in-house actuarial specialists, to assess the experience and capability of the team at Hymans Robertson and to review the methodology and appropriateness of the assumptions used in the valuation;
- reviewed the pension related disclosures in the financial statements;
- reviewing the accounting treatment of the pension asset to ensure it is in line with IAS 19 and the CIPFA guidance; and
- ensured that the pension assets and membership information is consistent with those in the Fund financial statements.

will provide a verbal update to the committee on the 21 September.

Financial statement audit significant risks (continued)

Risk identified	Deloitte response	Key observations
Valuation of directly held commercial properties - Fund Property valuations are by nature significant estimates which are based on specialist and management assumptions and which can be subject to material changes in value.	 We completed the following procedures: tested the design and implementation of controls around the valuation of direct properties by reviewing the controls operated in respect of monitoring the valuation of properties during the audit period; 	No issues were identified through this testing.
	 vouched the valuation of direct properties included within the Fund financial statements to the direct third-party confirmations provided by JLL, including an assessment of post balance sheet events and the impact on the valuation of the property portfolio; 	
	 agreed the directly held properties to title deeds to ensure the properties are held and in the name of the Fund/Authority, and vouch disposals to appropriate support; 	
	 evaluated the qualifications, independence and experience of JLL to prepare the valuations and obtain their engagement terms; and 	
	 utilised Deloitte Real Assets Advisory ("DRAA") to review the identified samples in the traffic light report for further testing. 	
Completeness and existence of investments - Fund The Fund holds a diversified portfolio of investment assets. This could lead to a risk of incomplete or inaccurate reporting of transactions or balances at the year end.	 We completed the following procedures: performed design, implementation and operating effectiveness testing over the controls in place at material investment managers through the review of their internal controls reports; vouched year end valuations in the financial statements to the reports received directly from the investment managers. We have received and agreed 99.93% of investment valuations to independent confirmations; agreed a sample of level 3 investments to the latest audited financial statements; agreed 100% of commercial properties to title deeds; reviewed the custodian to investment manager reconciliations; vouched a sample of sales and purchases through to deal slips, authorisations, and bank statements if applicable; vouched a sample of cash movements through to bank statements and third party confirmations; and performed an analytical review to assess the reasonableness of the investment return quoted in the draft accounts. 	We did not identify any material issue through the testing performed.

Auditor's work on Value for Money (VfM) arrangements

The Accounting Officer and the Pensions Authority are responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources. This includes taking properly informed decisions and managing key operational and financial risks so that they can deliver their objectives and safeguard public money.

The Accounting Officer reports on the Authority's arrangements, and the effectiveness with which the arrangements are operating, as part of their Annual Governance Statement.

Under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, we are required to be satisfied as to whether the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. Under the National Audit Office's Auditor Guidance Note 3, we are required to assess arrangements under three areas:

Financial sustainability	How the body plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services
Governance	How the body ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks
Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness How the body uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services	

In this report, we set out the findings from the work we have undertaken. Where we have found significant weaknesses in arrangements, we are required to make recommendations so that the Authority can consider them and set out how it plans to make improvements. We have not identified any significant weaknesses in arrangements.

In planning and performing our work, we consider the arrangements that we expect bodies to have in place, and potential indicators of risks of significant weaknesses in those arrangements.

In addition to our financial statement audit, we performed a range of procedures to inform our VfM commentary, including:



Interviews with key officers, including Gillian Taberner (Assistant Director – Resources).



Review of Board and Committee reports and attendance at Audit Committee meetings.



Reviewing reports from third parties including internal audit.



Considering the findings from our audit work on the financial statements.



Review of the Authority's Annual Governance Statement and narrative report.

VfM arrangements: Financial sustainability

Approach and considerations

We have considered how the Authority plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services, including:

- How the Authority ensures it identifies all the significant financial pressures that are relevant to its short and medium-term plans, and builds these into them;
- How the Authority plans to bridge its funding gaps and identifies achievable savings;
- How the Authority plans finances to support the sustainable delivery of services in accordance with strategic and statutory priorities;
- How the Authority ensures that its financial plan is consistent with other plans such as workforce, capital, investment, and other operational planning; and
- How the Authority identifies and manages risks to financial resilience, including challenge of the assumptions underlying its plans.

Commentary

The Authority recognised a deficit on the provision of services for the year of £749k (31 March 2022: £193k). At 31 March 2023, the Authority had net assets of £1,377k (31 March 2022: liabilities £8,485k), net current assets of £425k (31 March 2022: £201k), and cash of £0k (31 March 2022: £1k). Although the Authority does not have a cash balance, the expenditure is funded by the Pension Fund and they have a debtor due from the Fund of £1,831k. The Fund has over £10b of assets therefore there are no concerns over the recoverability of the debtor. The Authority's useable reserves have decreased by £66k to £423k. This was a planned use of earmarked reserves which had been set aside to fund a number of areas including capital projects.

The Authority has an annual financial planning and forecasting process. The financial plan is considered as part of the overall operational planning process and this process is lead by the director and Assistant Director - Resources. The Authority has a Medium Term Financial Strategy from 2022/23 to 2024/25. The 2022/23 budget is linked to the corporate objectives and has been prepared to ensure the Authority has sufficient resources to deliver services.

Due to the nature of the Authority, the expenditure incurred is funded by the Pension Fund in accordance with regulations. The Authority is, therefore, less exposed to the wider constraints on the public sector financial environment. As such, there is no funding gap or savings plans to consider. The Pension Fund is currently in surplus and has net assets of £10bn and therefore has sufficient resources to fund the expenditure of the Authority.

The Authority has a risk management process which we have observed through the Risk Framework and the RAG rating system used. The Authority maintains a risk register which is regularly reviewed and challenged by the Pensions Authority Audit Committee and the South Yorkshire Local Pension Board. The Authority reports the Corporate Performance on a quarterly basis which includes a review of the financial position and an analysis of the actual expenditure incurred compared to budget, this allows the Authority to identify any changes in demand throughout the year.

VfM arrangements: Governance

Approach and considerations

We have considered how the Authority ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks, including:

- How the body monitors and assesses risk and how the body gains assurance over the effective operation of internal controls, including arrangements to prevent and detect fraud;
- How the body approaches and carries out its annual budget setting process;
- How the body ensures effective processes and systems are in place to ensure budgetary control; to communicate relevant, accurate and timely management information (including non-financial information); supports its statutory financial reporting requirements; and ensures corrective action is taken where needed;
- How the body ensures it makes properly informed decisions, supported by appropriate evidence and allowing for challenge and transparency; and
- How the body monitors and ensures appropriate standards, such as meeting legislative/regulatory requirements and standards in terms of officer behaviour.

Commentary

The Authority has a risk management process in place. The Authority maintains a Risk Management Framework and risk register which are reviewed on a quarterly basis by the Audit Committee. The risks identified are allocated to an owner to implement the mitigating actions. The Authority has a series of policies covering internal controls including a clear whistleblowing and anti-fraud policy. These policies were last reviewed in 2019 and are now overdue for review. The Governance team will be reviewing and updating the policies in 2023/24.

The annual budget exercise is conducted as part of the annual planning exercise for which the Assistant Director - Resources and the Director have executive responsibility. National and local guidance is assessed and used to form the basis of a number of assumptions in the plan. Current year performance is evaluated with notable variances explained to determine any ongoing impact. The budget seeks to explain year on year movements and any pressures are identified. There is a clear process in place to set the annual budget and this is approved by the Authority.

The Authority produces a quarterly Corporate Performance Report which includes a review of the actual outturn position against the budget and details any significant variances. This is reported to the Authority quarterly which ensures there is sufficient oversight of the budget monitoring process. The report also includes non financial information and reports on how the Authority is achieving against their corporate plans.

The Authority has a number of policies in place to ensure it makes properly informed decisions. The Authority has an approved decision methodology for investment and divestment decisions which includes finance and other key factors. Where necessary decisions will be reviewed by the executive management team for comment and to determine if the proposal should be approved. Business cases with supporting information are submitted to the relevant committee for approval. This allows for challenge and transparency before decisions are approved.

VfM arrangements: Governance – continued

Commentary

The Authority has governance processes in place to ensure all employment matters are approved by the appropriate individuals within the organisation and ensures they are reported to the Pensions Authority meetings where appropriate.

The role of Monitoring Officer was performed by an officer of Barnsley Council until December 2022 and from January 2023 this role was undertaken by the Authority's Head of Governance to bring the responsibility in house and strengthen the governance at the Authority.

The Authority has a number of staff policies in place including a code of conduct. These are all contained within the constitution and are readily available for all staff to access. Declarations of interest are maintained for all senior members of staff and decision making officers.

VfM arrangements: Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness

Approach and considerations

We have considered how the body uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services, including:

- How financial and performance information has been used to assess performance to identify areas for improvement;
- How the Authority evaluates the services it provides to assess performance and identify areas for improvement;
- How the Authority ensures it delivers its role within significant partnerships, engages with stakeholders it has identified, monitors performance against expectations, and ensures action is taken where necessary to improve; and
- Where the Authority commissions or procures services, how the Authority ensures that this is done in accordance with relevant legislation, professional standards and internal policies, and how the Authority assesses whether it is realising the expected benefits.

Commentary

The Authority assesses its performance through quarterly Corporate Performance Reports which consider a number of measures including corporate, investment, pension administration and financial metrics. There is also quarterly reporting on the performance of the Pension Fund investments. These reports are presented to the Authority.

The Authority engage CEM Benchmarking on an ad hoc basis to perform benchmarking reviews in areas such as pensions administration and investments. CEM Benchmarking performed an investments review for the 8 years up to March 2022. This showed the investments were performing ahead of the LGPS median with regards to the net total return. The report concluded the fund are delivering positive value at low cost.

The most significant partnership that the Authority is part of is the Border to Coast Pensions Partnership ('BCPP'). The Authority is both an investor in products and an owner in the company. BCPP currently manages 70.4% of the Pension Fund assets. BCPP provide monthly and quarterly reports to the Authority outlining their performance and compliance with mandates agreed with the Authority. These are reviewed by the Director.

BCPP have an annual internal controls review undertaken by KPMG who have produced an Independent Service Auditor's Assurance Report on Investment Management Control System for the period 1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022. This report is unqualified.

The Authority performs an annual review of BCPP. They have an annual review meeting involving the BCPP portfolio managers, senior management and the Authority's investment advisory panel and produce an annual review report. This covers the investment performance and the delivery of the partnership against the principles and the Authority's objectives. The annual report concludes that the partnership has delivered the intended outcomes and has improved delivery of value for money. The report highlights a few recommendations mostly relating to improving the oversight processes in place.



Purpose of our report and responsibility statement

What we report

Our report fulfils our obligations under the Code of Audit Practice to issue an Auditor's Annual Report that brings together all of our work over the year, including our commentary on arrangements to secure value for money, and recommendations in respect of identified significant weaknesses in the Authority's arrangements.

What we don't report

Our audit was not designed to identify all matters that may be relevant to the Audit Committee.

Also, there will be further information the Pensions Authority need to discharge their governance responsibilities, such as matters reported on by management or by other specialist advisers.

Finally, our views on internal controls and business risk assessment should not be taken as comprehensive or as an opinion on effectiveness since they have been based solely on the audit procedures performed in the audit of the financial statements and work under the Code of Audit Practice in respect of Value for Money arrangements.

The scope of our work

Our observations are developed in the context of our audit of the financial statements.

We described the scope of our work in our audit plan.

Use of this report

This report has been prepared for the Authority, as a body, and we therefore accept responsibility to you alone for its contents. We accept no duty, responsibility or liability to any other parties, since this report has not been prepared, and is not intended, for any other purpose.

Delsitte W

Deloitte LLP

Newcastle upon Tyne | 12 September 2023

Appendix 1: Authority's responsibilities

Public bodies spending taxpayers' money are accountable for their stewardship of the resources entrusted to them. They should account properly for their use of resources and manage themselves well so that the public can be confident.

Financial statements are the main way in which local public bodies account for how they use their resources. Local public bodies are required to prepare and publish financial statements setting out their financial performance for the year. To do this, bodies need to maintain proper accounting records and ensure they have effective systems of internal control.

All local public bodies are responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness from their resources. This includes taking properly informed decisions and managing key operational and financial risks so that they can deliver their objectives and safeguard public money. Local public bodies report on their arrangements, and the effectiveness with which the arrangements are operating, as part of their annual governance statement.

The Treasurer as Accounting Officer of the Authority, is responsible for the preparation of the financial statements and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view, and for such internal control as the Accounting Officer determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

The Accounting Officer is required to comply with the CIPFA code of practice and prepare the financial statements on a going concern basis, unless the Authority is informed of the intention for dissolution without transfer of services or function to another entity. In applying the going concern basis of accounting, the Accounting Officer has applied the 'continuing provision of services' approach set out in the CIPFA code of practice as it is anticipated that the services the Authority provides will continue into the future.

The Accounting Officer is required to confirm that the Statement of Accounts, taken as a whole, is fair, balanced, and understandable, and provides the information necessary for patients, regulators and stakeholders to assess the Authority's performance, business model and strategy.

The Accounting Officer is responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of the Authority's resources, for ensuring that the use of public funds complies with the relevant legislation, delegated authorities and guidance, for safeguarding the assets of the Authority, and for taking reasonable steps for the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities.

The Accounting Officer and the Board are responsible for ensuring proper stewardship and governance, and reviewing regularly the adequacy and effectiveness of these arrangements.

Appendix 2: Auditor's responsibilities

Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (UK) will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

A further description of our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements is located on the FRC's website at: www.frc.org.uk/auditorsresponsibilities. This description forms part of our auditor's report.

Auditor's responsibilities relating to the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources

We are required under the Code of Audit Practice and the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to satisfy ourselves that the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

We are not required to consider, nor have we considered, whether all aspects of the foundation Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources are operating effectively.

We undertake our work in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice, having regard to the guidance, published by the Comptroller & Auditor General in January 2023, as to whether the Authority has proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources against the specified criteria of financial sustainability, governance, and improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

The Comptroller & Auditor General has determined that under the Code of Audit Practice, we discharge this responsibility by reporting by exception if we have reported to the Authority a significant weakness in arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2023. Other findings from our work, including our commentary on the Authority's arrangements, are reported in our Auditor's Annual Report.

Auditor's other responsibilities

We are also required to report to you if we exercise any of our additional reporting powers under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to:

- make a written recommendation to the Authority, copied to the Secretary of State;
- make a referral to the Secretary of State if we believe that the Authority or an officer of the Authority is:
 - about to make, or has made, a decision which involves or would involve the Authority incurring unlawful expenditure; or
 - about to take, or has begun to take a course of action which, if pursued to its conclusion, would be unlawful and likely to cause a loss or deficiency; and
- consider whether to issue a report in the public interest.

Deloitte.

Deloitte LLP does not accept any liability for use of or reliance on the contents of this document by any person save by the intended recipient(s) to the extent agreed in a Deloitte LLP engagement contract.

Deloitte LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC303675 and its registered office at 1 New Street Square, London, EC4A 3HQ, United Kingdom.

Deloitte LLP is the United Kingdom affiliate of Deloitte NSE LLP, a member firm of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee ("DTTL"). DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL and Deloitte NSE LLP do not provide services to clients. Please see www.deloitte.com/about to learn more about our global network of member firms.

© 2023 Deloitte LLP. All rights reserved.